**BILLING CODE: 3510-DS-P** 

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-520-803]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013-2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 1, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film (PET Film) from the United Arab Emirates (UAE). This review covers one producer/exporter of subject merchandise, JBF RAK LLC (JBF). Based on our analysis of the comments and information received, we made changes to the <u>Preliminary Results</u>, which are discussed below. The final weighted-average dumping margin is listed below in the section titled "Final Results of Review."

DATES: Effective Date: [Insert date of publication in the Federal Register].

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrew Huston, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4261.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the United Arab Emirates: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013-2014, 80 FR 75052 (December 1, 2015) (Preliminary Results).</u>

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Background

On December 1, 2015, the Department published the <u>Preliminary Results</u>. On January 11, 2016, the Department received timely-filed case briefs from JBF and DuPont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc., and SKC, Inc., (collectively, Petitioners).<sup>2</sup> On January 19, 2016, JBF and Petitioners timely filed rebuttal briefs.<sup>3</sup>

## Period of Review

The period of review is November 1, 2013 through October 31, 2014.

## Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are all gauges of raw, pre-treated, or primed polyethylene terephthalate film (PET Film), whether extruded or co-extruded. Excluded are metallized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer more than 0.00001 inches thick. Also excluded is roller transport cleaning film which has at least one of its surfaces modified by application of 0.5 micrometers of SBR latex. Tracing and drafting film is also excluded. PET Film is classifiable under subheading 3920.62.00.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>See</u> "Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet and Strip from the United Arab Emirates (A-520-803); Case Brief of JBF RAK, LLC" dated January 11, 2016 and "Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet, and Strip from the United Arab Emirates: Petitioners' Case Brief' dated January 11, 2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> <u>See</u> "Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet and Strip from the United Arab Emirates (A-520-803); Rebuttal Brief of JBF RAK, LLC" dated January 19, 2016 and Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet, and Strip from the United Arab Emirates: Petitioners' Rebuttal Brief" dated January 19, 2016.

## Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised by parties in the case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Memorandum to Ronald Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the United Arab Emirates: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results" (Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice. A list of the issues addressed in the Decision Memorandum is appended to this notice. The Decision Memorandum is a public document and is available electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Services System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov, and it is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit of the main Commerce Building, room B-8024. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum is also accessible on the internet at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html. The signed Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made adjustments to our margin calculations for JBF for international movement expenses, and errors in the conversion of certain invoice dates.<sup>4</sup> As a result of these adjustments, the Department is now applying the average-to-

<sup>4</sup> <u>See</u> Decision Memorandum at Comment 3.

average comparison methodology for the final results.<sup>5</sup> A complete discussion of these adjustments and changes can be found in the Decision Memorandum.

### Final Results of Review

As a result of this review, we determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period of November 1, 2013, through October 31, 2014:

	Weighted-Average Dumping Margin
Producer or Exporter	(percent <u>ad valorem</u> )
JBF RAK LLC	4.44

#### Disclosure

We will disclose to interested parties the calculations performed in connection with these final results within five days of the publication of this notice, consistent with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

## Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.<sup>6</sup> The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

For assessment purposes we calculated importer-specific, <u>ad valorem</u> assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales.<sup>7</sup> We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>See</u> Memorandum to Mark Hoadley, "Final Analysis Memorandum for JBF RAK LLC 2013-2014," April 04, 2016

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in <u>Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification</u>, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> <u>See</u> 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

## Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act): (1) for the company covered by this review, the cash deposit rate will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin listed above in the section "Final Results of Review;" (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a previously completed segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the companyspecific rate published in the final results for the most recent period in which that producer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or in any previous segment of this proceeding, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be that established for the producer of the merchandise in these final results of review or in the final results for the most recent period in which that producer participated; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the producer is a firm covered in this review or in any previously completed segment of this proceeding, then the cash deposit rate will be 4.05 percent, the all-others rate established in the less than fair value investigation.<sup>8</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

## Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice is the only reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Id.

the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby

requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable

violation.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR

351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to

liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement

could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred

and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results and this notice in accordance with

sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Dated: April 4, 2016.

Ronald Lorentzen,

**Acting Assistant Secretary** 

for Enforcement and Compliance.

# **Appendix**

# **Issues in the Decision Memorandum**

- I. Summary Background
- III. Discussion of the Issues

Comment 1: Explanation of Alternative Comparison Methodology

Comment 2: Alleged SAS Programming Errors

IV. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2016-08234 Filed: 4/8/2016 8:45 am; Publication Date: 4/11/2016]